**GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS BOR RURNS** SUSAN BITTER SMITH

# OPEN MEETING ITEM







RECEIVED

2013 JUN 26 P 3: 19

DATE:

JUNE 26, 2013

DOCKET NO .:

T-03699A-12-0097

AZ CORP COMMISSION

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Yvette B. Kinsey. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

> ACCESS ONE, INC. (CC&N)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

JULY 5, 2013

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

JULY 17, 2013 AND JULY 18, 2013

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 26 2013

BOUNETED HY

JODI JERICH

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 www.azcc.gov

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail SABernal@azcc.gov.

#### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. T-03699A-12-0097

DECISION NO.

**ORDER** 

### **COMMISSIONERS**

BOB STUMP - Chairman
 GARY PIERCE
 BRENDA BURNS
 BOB BURNS
 SUSAN BITTER SMITH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF

ACCESS ONE, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A

CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE RESOLD AND FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL EXCHANGE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

6

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Open Meeting July 17 and 18, 2013 Phoenix, Arizona

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 16, 2012, Access One, Inc. ("Access One" or the "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for approval of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange telecommunication services in the State of Arizona. Access One's application also requests a determination that its proposed services are competitive in Arizona.

On June 22, 2012, Access One filed a response to the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") First Set of Data Requests.

On October 19, 2012, the Company docketed an amended application requesting authority to provide only resold local exchange telecommunication services in Arizona.

On November 28, 2012, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending approval of Access One's amended application, subject to certain conditions.

On January 22, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a hearing to begin on March 19, 2013, and establishing other procedural deadlines.

On January 29, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued vacating the hearing scheduled in this matter, stating that because Access One's amended application requested authority to only provide

28

1

1	resold local telecommunication services, a hearing is not required and directing Access One to file an					
2	Affidavit of Publication by February 18, 2013.					
3	On February 19, 2013, Access One docketed an Affidavit of Publication.					
4	On April 8, 2013, Access One docketed an additional Affidavit of Publication.					
5	* * * * * * * *					
6	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the					
7	Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:					
8	FINDINGS OF FACT					
9	1. Access One is a foreign C corporation organized under the laws of Illinois and					
10	authorized to transact business in Arizona. <sup>1</sup>					
11	2. Access One's principal offices are located in Chicago, Illinois. <sup>2</sup>					
12	3. In Decision No. 61922 (August 27, 1999), Access One was authorized to provide					
13	resold long distance telecommunication services in Arizona.					
14	4. On March 16, 2012, Access One filed an application with the Commission requesting					
15	authority for a CC&N to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange telecommunications					
16	services in Arizona.					
17	5. On October 19, 2012, Access One amended its application requesting authority to					
18	provide only resold local exchange telecommunication services in Arizona. <sup>3</sup>					
19	6. Notice of the amended application was given in accordance with the law.					
20	7. Staff recommends approval of the Access One's amended application for a CC&N to					
21	provide resold local exchange telecommunications services subject to the following conditions:					
22	A One sampling with all Commission Pulos Orders and other					
23	a. Access One complies with all Commission Rules, Orders and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications					
24	services; b. Access One abides by the quality of service standards that were approved by					
25	b. Access One abides by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for Qwest in Docket No. T-01051B-93-0183;					
26	c. Access One be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange					
27	Response to Staff's Data Request docketed June 22, 2012.					
28	<sup>2</sup> Application at Attachment B. <sup>3</sup> Amended Application docketed October 19, 2012.					

DECISION NO.

DECISION NO.

4

5 6

8

## 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Staff Report at 1. Response to Staff's Data Request at Exhibit B, docketed June 22, 2012.

performance bond or ISDLC must remain in effect until further order of the Commission. The Commission may draw on the performance bond or ISDLC, on behalf of, and for the sole benefit of the Company's customers, if the Commission finds, in its discretion, that the Company is in default of its obligations arising from its Certificate. The Commission may use the performance bond or ISDLC funds, as appropriate, to protect the Company's customers and the public interest and take any and all actions the Commission deems necessary, in its discretion, including, but not limited to returning prepayments or deposits collected from the Company's customers.

(iii) Abide by the Commission adopted rules that address Universal Service Arizona. R14-2-1204(A) A.A.C. indicates telecommunications service providers that interconnect into the public switched network shall provide funding for the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF").

#### **Technical Capabilities**

- 9. Access One intends to offer local exchange telecommunication services to business customers in Arizona.<sup>4</sup> The Company intends to provide its proposed services by reselling the services of XO Communications, an authorized incumbent local exchange carrier in Arizona.<sup>5</sup>
- Access One is authorized to provide competitive telecommunication services in forty-10. three states/jurisdictions, including Arizona.<sup>6</sup> The Company currently provides resold long distance telecommunication services to thirty (30) Arizona business customers.<sup>7</sup>
- 11. Staff verified in twelve (12) states/jurisdictions that Access One is certified or registered to provide its proposed services.8
- 12. Access One's amended application states that its seven (7) top executives have an average of 22 years' experience in the telecommunications industry.9
- 13. According to the Company, it will provide customer service using an online portal; direct contact with account managers; or by calling a toll free number. The Company states that if customer service issues arise outside of its normal business hours, customers can use the same toll

Response to Staff's Data Request at PJG 1.8, docketed June 22, 2012. Amended Application docketed October 19, 2012.

Application at Attachment C and See, Decision No. 61922 (August 27, 1999). Response to Staff's Data Request at PJG 1.9, docketed June 22, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Response to Staff's Data Request at PJG 1.5, docketed June 22, 2012.

2 3

5

## 6

8

10

11 12

13

14

#### 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15 Staff Report at 3.

<sup>12</sup> Application at Attachment D.

<sup>14</sup> Amended Application at A-15.

<sup>16</sup> Response to Staff's Data Request at Exhibit E and F. Staff Report at 3.

11 Response to Staff's Data Request at PJG 1.5, docketed June 22, 2012.

13 Response to Staff's Data Request at Exhibit C, docketed June 22, 2012.

<sup>18</sup> Application at B-4.

14. Based on the above factors, Staff believes Access One has the technical capabilities to provide its proposed services in Arizona.

#### Financial Capability

- 15. Access One provided audited financial statements for the 12 months ending December 31, 2010, listing total assets of \$5,170,353; total equity of negative equity of \$674,095; and a net income of \$515,190.<sup>12</sup> For the 12 months ending December 31, 2011, Access Once listed total assets of \$5,153,613; total equity of negative of \$67,605; and a net income \$606,487.<sup>13</sup>
- 16. Access One's amended application states its proposed tariffs will not require deposits or advanced payments from its customers.<sup>14</sup> The Commission's policy is that resellers providing local exchange services should procure a performance bond or ISDLC to protect customers. Under the Commission's policy, Staff recommends that Access One procure a performance bond or ISDLC in the amount of \$25,000.15

#### Rates and Charges

- 17. Access One docketed its proposed business rates and also provided a comparison of its proposed rates and those of other ILECs operating in Arizona.<sup>16</sup>
- 18. Staff states that Access One will be a new entrant into the market, face competition from other ILECs, and will not be able to exert any market power in the markets its proposes to serve. 17 Further, Staff states that the competitive process should result in rates that are just and reasonable.
- Access One states that its projected fair value rate base ("FVRB") will be zero for the 19. first twelve months of operation in Arizona. 18 Staff believes Access One's FVRB is too small to be

useful in a fair value analysis, would not be useful in setting rates, and should not be given substantial weight in this analysis. Staff reviewed the proposed rates submitted by the Company and believes they are comparable to the rates charged by CLECs and ILECs operating in Arizona. Therefore, Staff states that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to a rate of return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommends that while it considered the FVRB information, that it not be given substantial weight in setting rates for Access One. 22

#### **Local Exchange Carrier Specific Issues**

- 20. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1308(A) and federal laws and rules, Access One will make number portability available to facilitate the ability of customers to switch between authorized local carriers within a given wire center without changing their telephone number and without impairment to quality, functionality, reliability or convenience of use.
- 21. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1204(A) all telecommunication service providers that interconnect to the public switched network shall provide funding for the AUSF. Access One shall make payments to the AUSF described under A.A.C. R14-2-1204(B).
- 22. In Commission Decision No. 59421 (December 20, 1995), the Commission approved quality of service standards for Qwest which imposed penalties due to an unsatisfactory level of service. In this matter, Staff believes Access One does not have a similar history of service quality problems, and therefore the penalties in that decision should not apply.
- 23. In the areas where the Company is the only local exchange service provider, Staff recommends that Access One be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve the area.
- 24. Access One will provide all customers with 911 and E911 service where available, or will coordinate with ILECs, and emergency service providers to facilitate the service.

2	4

<sup>19</sup> Staff Report at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Staff Report at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Staff Report at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Staff Report at 4.

<sup>23</sup> Staff Report at 7. <sup>24</sup> Staff Report at 7.

25.	Pursuant	to prior	Commission	Decisions,	Access	One ma	y offer	customer	local	area
signaling serv	rices such	as Caller	ID and Cal	l Blocking,	so long	as the c	ustome	r is able t	o bloc	k or
unblock each	individual	call at no	additional o	cost.						

26. Access One must offer Last Call Return service, which will not allow the return of calls to the telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated.

#### **Complaint Information**

- 27. Access One has neither had an application for service denied nor revoked in any state and no formal complaints, civil, or criminal proceedings filed against it.
- 28. The Commission's Consumer Services Section shows that there have been zero complaints, inquiries, or opinions filed against Access One through August 16, 2012.
  - 29. The Commission's Corporations Division indicates Access One is in good standing.
- 30. Staff states that a search of the Federal Communications Commission's website confirmed that no complaints had been filed against Access One.
- 31. Access One's application states that none of its officers, directors, or partners have been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or any formal, or informal complaints. Access One also states that none of its officers, directors, or partners has been convicted of any criminal acts in the past ten years.

#### **Competitive Analysis**

- 32. Access One is requesting that its proposed telecommunication services in Arizona be classified as competitive.
- 33. Staff believes that Access One's proposed services should be classified as competitive because the Company will have to compete with other CLECs and ILECs to gain customers; there are alternative providers to Access One's proposed services; and that Access One has no ability to adversely affect the local exchange service market as several ILECs provide the same services.<sup>23</sup>
- 34. Given the above factors, Staff concludes that Access One's proposed services should be classified as competitive in Arizona.<sup>24</sup>

DECISION NO.

#### Resolution

- 35. Access One's top executives possess an average of 22 years' experience in the telecommunication industry; Access One is authorized to provide its proposed services in 43 states/jurisidictions; Staff believes that Access One's proposed tariffs will result in just and reasonable rates and that Access One proposed services are competitive in Arizona. We find that Access One has the technical capabilities to provide its proposed services in Arizona; that Access One will be operating in a competitive environment; that Access One's proposed tariffs will result in just and reasonable rates; and that granting Access One authority to provide its proposed services is in the public interest.
  - 36. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable and should be adopted.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Access One is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Access One and the subject matter of the application.
  - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for Access One to provide the resold local telecommunications services as set forth in the amended application.
- 6. Access One is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N authorizing it to provide resold local exchange telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.
- 7. Access One's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Access One to establish rates and charges that are

not less than Access One's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein. 9. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-282, the application in this matter may be approved without a hearing. 10. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. **ORDER** IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Access One, Inc. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide resold local exchange telecommunication services in Arizona, is hereby approved, subject to Staff's recommendations as more fully described in Findings of Fact Nos. 7 and 8. 

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Access One, Inc. fails to comply with the Staff				
2	recommendations described in Findings Fact No. 8, the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity				
3	granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process.				
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.				
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.				
6	BI ONDER OF I	TIL AIGZONA COM OKATIO	A COMMISSION.		
7					
8	CHAIRMAN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COMMISSIONER		
9					
10	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER		
11		IN WITNESS WHEDEOR	, I, JODI JERICH, Executive		
12		Director of the Arizona	Corporation Commission, have caused the official seal of the		
13		Commission to be affixed at	the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, of 2013.		
14					
15					
16		JODI JERICH			
17		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR			
18	DISSENT				
19					
20	DISSENT				
21		·			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		10	DECISION NO.		

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	ACCESS ONE, INC.				
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-03699A-12-0097				
3	Patrick D. Crocker					
4	CROCKER & CROCKER 107 West Michigan Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Kalamazoo, MI 49007					
5	Regulatory Consultants to Applicant					
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division					
7	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street					
9	Steven M. Olea, Director					
10	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS	SSION				
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						